



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/703,140 10/31/2000		David Hoyle	TI-30554 1023		
23494 75	23494 7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER		
TEXAS INST	RUMENTS INCORPOR	MAI, TAN V			
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999					
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2193		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/703,140	HOYLE, DAVID	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tan V. Mai	2193	

	Tan V. Mai	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress -
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further contains</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>		II be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: 6.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 9-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
see explaination in paragraph 3(a) above.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.  Other:		Mai	
		Tan V. Mai	
		Primary Examiner	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In amended independent claim 9, the claim adds and "the first element of the first pair of elements is a most significant element of the first pair of elements" (lines 6-7, noted that the limitation of former claim 6 that was indicated as allowable in the Final rejection); however, the detail of "multiply circuit" (lines 4-7) does not positively recite the "first element of the first pair of elements is a most significant element of the first pair of elements" for forming "most significant product" and "least significant product" as required in amended claim 6. Therefore, claim 9 is NOT similarly to amended claim 6. The claim also raise the 112, 2nd paragraph because it is unclear how the "multiply circuit" operates for providing the "most significant product" and "least significant product". Similarly noted NEW independent claim 17. NEW independent Claim 12 is allowable.